



Arizona Sex Offender Management Board Meeting (SOMB)

CALL TO PUBLIC: ~~WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENT~~

Public Speak *Kim*

Use this form to submit a written public comment for the next SOMB Meeting.

Please note that the Board Staff is not responsible for redacting (removing) personal information from comments. It is your responsibility to ensure that your comment is intended for the public and ready to be made publicly available.

We recommend REMOVING the following from your written public comment:

- Personal contact information (i.e., address, mailing address, phone number, email, etc.)
- Personal information (*i.e., social security number, age, birthdate)

Please provide your written comment below.

Ensure that your comment is intended for the public and ready to be made publicly available.

Notice:

Comments received through this form are intended for the public and will be included in Board Meeting Materials and made available to members of the public. Board Staff is not responsible for redacting personal information from the provided comment.

Board Staff may redact some or all of the provided comments if they are found to use foul or inappropriate language be offensive or otherwise be inappropriate.

☒ YES, I Understand this Notice

YOUR NAME

Kim DROGOSZ

EMAIL ADDRESS

WHAT PART OF THE AGENDA DOES YOUR WRITTEN COMMENT RELATE TO?

YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT HERE: BOARD STAFF IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR REDACTING YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT.

September 28, 2026

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Board.

My name is Kim Drogosz, and I represent myself.

First, I want to follow up on my request from the August 31st meeting: **Will the SOMB establish a policy requiring the Chair to notify legislative leaders in writing within three business days when the Committee of the Whole takes a formal position or vote on proposed legislation?**

This would help ensure the Board's recommendations reach lawmakers while legislation is actively being considered.

Second, as the SOMB develops the Adult Standards and Guidelines, I would like the Board to address an important distinction.

Sexual offenses encompass a wide range of conduct. In listening to discussions surrounding the Guiding Principles in both subcommittees, much of the framework appears focused on contact sexual offenses. **Will the Standards and Guidelines specifically examine whether exclusively online, non-contact CSAM offenses should be recognized as a distinct population for purposes of risk assessment, treatment, and supervision?**

Your Guiding Principles already state that treatment and supervision should be individualized based upon assessed risk and need. A.R.S. 13-3828 also requires the SOMB to use an evidence-based model and to identify lower-risk individuals who may not benefit from additional treatment.

So I ask the Board to examine a fundamental question:

What does the current evidence tell us about individuals whose offenses are exclusively online and non-contact, and how do their risk and treatment needs compare with other sexual-offense populations?

If the evidence shows comparable risk and treatment needs, the Standards should explain the evidence supporting that conclusion. If the evidence demonstrates meaningful differences, those differences should be expressly recognized.

MCAO already recognizes distinctions among categories of sexual offending in its policies and charging practices. **Arizona statutes do not always make those same distinctions.**

This is not about minimizing the harm caused by CSAM. It is about ensuring that treatment and supervision reflect the evidence, the conduct, and the individual's assessed risk.

The goal should be clear: let the evidence determine whether exclusively online, non-contact CSAM offenses warrant distinct standards.

Lastly, I ask the Board to designate a representative to review past public comments, identify concerns that have been raised, and provide meaningful responses so the public knows how those concerns are being addressed and not left unanswered.

Thank you.